

2

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated April 20, 2004, the Examiner states that Claims 1-20 are pending, Claims 1-7, 9, 13-15, 18 and 19 are rejected and Claims 8, 10-12, 16, 17 and 20 are objected to. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the specification and the claims.

In the Office Action, the specification is objected to for not including proper section headings. The Applicant has amended the specification to include section headings.

In the Office Action, the specification is objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the term "regularly" in Claim 6. Claim 6 has been amended to instead use the term "uniformly" as indicated in the specification.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-7, 9, 13-15, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). However, Claims 8, 10-12, 16-17, and 20 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter.


The Applicant has amended independent Claims 1 and 3 to incorporate the limitations of Claim 12. The Applicant therefore considers each of the claims to now be in condition for allowance.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in better condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

August 16, 2004

Date



Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613